arXiv:1208.1434v1 [math.NT] 7 Aug 2012

A NEW CONSTRUCTION OF THE REAL NUMBERS WITH ALTERNATING SERIES

SOICHI IKEDA

Abstract. We introduce an algorithm which represent any real number as an alternating series of rational numbers. That algorithm is a generalization of the alternating-Sylvester series and has the same properties as that. In the last section, we construct the real numbers by our alternating series representation. Our method is similar to that of Arnold Knopfmacher and John Knopfmacher [5]. However our proofs of the algebraic properties of the real numbers are achieved by a general lemma.

1. Introduction

There are some methods which represent any real number as a certain series of rational numbers. Moreover some methods which construct the real numbers by such a representation was considered. Arnold Knopfmacher and John Knopfmacher constructed the real numbers by the Sylvester series and the Engel series [4]. These series and algorithms are as follows :

(i) **Sylvester series**. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A_1 = \alpha - a_0$ with $0 < A_1 \le 1$, $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_n = \left[\frac{1}{A_n}\right] + 1$$

and

$$A_{n+1} = A_n - \frac{1}{a_n}.$$

Then

$$\alpha = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n},$$

where $a_1 \ge 2$ and $a_{n+1} \ge a_n(a_n - 1) + 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) **Engel series**. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A_1 = \alpha - a_0$ with $0 < A_1 \le 1$, $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_n = \left[\frac{1}{A_n}\right] + 1$$

and

$$A_{n+1} = a_n A_n - 1.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11U99.

Key words and phrases. alternating series, real number, Sylvester series.

Then

$$\alpha = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_1 \cdots a_n},$$

where $a_1 \geq 2$ and $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In addition Arnold Knopfmacher and John Knopfmacher defined the alternating-Sylvester series and the alternating-Engel series, and constructed the real numbers by these series [5]. These series and algorithms are as follows, which are generalizations of Oppenheim's expansion [6] and special cases of alternating Balkema-Oppenheim expansion [2].

(iii) **alternating-Sylvester series**. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_0 = [\alpha]$ and $A_1 = \alpha - a_0$. We define, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_n > 0$,

$$a_n = \left[\frac{1}{A_n}\right]$$

and

$$A_{n+1} = \frac{1}{a_n} - A_n.$$

Then

$$\alpha = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1} - \frac{1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{a_3} - \ldots,$$

where $a_1 \geq 1$ and $a_{n+1} \geq a_n(a_n + 1)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(iv) **alternating-Engel series**. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_0 = [\alpha]$ and $A_1 = \alpha - a_0$. We define, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_n > 0$,

$$a_n = \left[\frac{1}{A_n}\right]$$

and

$$A_{n+1} = 1 - a_n A_n.$$

Then

$$\alpha = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1} - \frac{1}{a_1 a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 a_3} - \ldots,$$

where $a_1 \geq 1$ and $a_{n+1} \geq a_i + 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

These algorithms in (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) are founded on the relation

$$\frac{1}{d+1} < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{d} \qquad (\alpha \in (0, 1]), \tag{1.1}$$

where $d = [\alpha^{-1}]$. We define an algorithm of a series expansion for the real numbers by using the relation

$$\frac{c}{d+1} < \alpha \leq \frac{c}{d} \qquad (\alpha \in (0, 1],\, c \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where $d = [c\alpha^{-1}]$, which is a generalization of (1.1).

Generalized alternating-Sylvester series. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $q_0 = [\alpha]$ and $A_1 = \alpha - q_0$. Let $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive integers. We define, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_n = \left[\frac{c_n}{A_n}\right] \qquad (\text{for } A_n \neq 0),$$

$$q_n = \begin{cases} \frac{c_n}{a_n} & (A_n \neq 0) \\ 0 & (A_n = 0) \end{cases}$$

and

$$A_{n+1} = q_n - A_n.$$

Then

$$\alpha = q_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} q_n. \tag{1.2}$$

This is a generalization of the alternating-Sylvester series. (The alternating-Sylvester series is the case $c_n = 1$ for all n.)

In section 2, we prove that this series has some good properties which are similar to those of the alternating-Sylvester series. In section 3, we prove that a set

$$S(\{c_n\}) = \{\{q_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \mid \{q_n\} \text{ appears in (1.2)}\} \tag{1.3}$$

can become the set of the real numbers for each fixed $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying the condition $c_n \mid c_{n+1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Our method of the construction is founded on that of Knopfmacher [5]. Hence our method has the same advantages as Knopfmacher's. In other words, our method is concrete and does not use equivalence classes. In addition there are two improvements on Knopfmacher's work. The first is that our result implies that we can construct the real numbers by infinitely many ways. The second is that our proofs of algebraic properties of the real numbers are achieved by a general lemma. Moreover it seems that this lemma can be used in Knopfmacher's work [3], [4], [5].

Remark 1.1. It seems that we can define generalized alternating-Engel series as follows :

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $A_1 = \alpha - a_0$ with $0 < A_1 \leq 1$, $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\{c_n\}$ be a sequence of positive integers. We define, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_n \neq 0$,

$$a_n = \left[\frac{c_n}{A_n}\right]$$

and

$$A_{n+1} = c_n - a_n A_n.$$

Then

$$\alpha = a_0 + \frac{c_1}{a_1} - \frac{c_2}{a_1 a_2} + \frac{c_3}{a_1 a_2 a_3} - \cdots.$$

However $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$ does not hold in this series. For example, if we set $A_1 = \alpha = 5/7$, $c_1 = 2$ and $c_2 = 1$ then $a_1 = 2$, $A_2 = 4/7$ and $a_2 = 1$. This is a trouble. In order to simplify the argument, we do not argue about this series.

2. Fundamental properties of the generalized alternating Sylvester series

In this section, we take an arbitrary sequence of positive integers $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and fix.

Proposition 2.1. *The generalized alternating-Sylvester series has the following properties for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(1) *If $A_n \neq 0$ then*
$$\frac{c_n}{a_n+1} < A_n \leq \frac{c_n}{a_n}.$$
(2) *If $A_{n+1} \neq 0$ then*
$$a_{n+1}+1 > \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} a_n(a_n+1).$$
(3) $A_n \geq A_{n+1}$. *If $A_n \neq 0$ then $A_n > A_{n+1}$.*
(4) $q_n \leq 1$.
(5) *If $A_{n+1} \neq 0$ then $a_{n+1} > a_n$.*
(6) *If $A_n \neq 0$ then $A_{n+1} < \frac{1}{a_n+1}$.*
(7) $q_n \geq q_{n+1}$. *If $q_{n+1} \neq 0$ then $q_n > q_{n+1}$.*

Proof. (1) is trivial from the definition.

(2) : From (1) and the definition,
$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1}+1 &> \frac{c_{n+1}}{A_{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{c_{n+1}}{\frac{c_n}{a_n} - A_n} \\ &> \frac{c_{n+1}}{\frac{c_n}{a_n} - \frac{c_n}{a_n+1}} \\ &= \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} a_n(a_n+1). \end{aligned}$$

(3) : The case $A_n = 0$ is trivial. For $A_n \neq 0$,
$$A_{n+1} < \frac{c_n}{a_n} - \frac{c_n}{a_n+1} \leq \frac{c_n}{a_n+1} < A_n.$$

(4) : From (3), $A_n < 1$ for any n. Hence
$$a_n = \left[\frac{c_n}{A_n}\right] \geq c_n$$
holds. This implies (4).

(5) is trivial from (2) and (4).

(6) : From (4),
$$A_{n+1} < \frac{c_n}{a_n} - \frac{c_n}{a_n+1} = \frac{c_n}{a_n(a_n+1)} \leq \frac{1}{a_n+1}.$$

(7) : The case $q_{n+1} = 0$ is trivial. For $q_{n+1} \neq 0$,
$$q_{n+1} < \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_{n+1}{q_n}^{-1}(a_n+1)-1} \leq \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_{n+1}a_n + c_{n+1} - 1} \leq \frac{1}{a_n} \leq q_n$$

from (2) and (4). □

Remark 2.1. Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k-1}q_k = A_1 + (-1)^{n-1}A_{n+1},$$

the series in (1.2) converges from Proposition 2.1. Hence

$$(-1)^{n-1}\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}(-1)^{k-1}q_k = (-1)^{n-1}\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}(-1)^{k-1}(A_{k+1}+A_k) = A_n \tag{2.1}$$

holds for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We can easily see that the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in (0,1]$. Then*

1. *There does not exist $d' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*
$$\frac{c}{d+1} < \frac{c}{d'} < \frac{c}{d}.$$
2. *$d = [c\alpha^{-1}]$ is equivalent to*
$$\frac{c}{d+1} < \alpha \leq \frac{c}{d}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\alpha, \alpha' \in (0,1]$, $c \in \mathbb{N}$, $d = [c/\alpha]$ and $d' = [c/\alpha']$. If $c/d \neq c/d'$ then $\alpha < \alpha'$ is equivalent to $c/d < c/d'$.*

Proof. First, we assume $\alpha < \alpha'$. Since $c/(d+1) < \alpha \leq c/d$ and $c/(d'+1) < \alpha' \leq c/d'$ hold from Lemma 2.1 (2), it is sufficient that we consider the following cases.

1. $\alpha < \alpha' \leq c/d$.
2. $c/(d'+1) < \alpha \leq c/d < \alpha'$.
3. $\alpha \leq c/(d'+1)$.

If (1) holds then we have

$$\frac{c}{d+1} < \alpha < \alpha' \leq \frac{c}{d}.$$

This implies that $c/d = c/d'$ from Lemma 2.1 (2), which is impossible.

If (2) holds then we have

$$\frac{c}{d'+1} < \frac{c}{d} < \alpha' \leq \frac{c}{d'},$$

which is impossible from Lemma 2.1 (1).

If (3) holds then we have

$$\alpha \leq \frac{c}{d} \leq \frac{c}{d'+1} < \alpha' < \frac{c}{d'}$$

from Lemma 2.1.

Next, we assume $c/d < c/d'$. If $c/(d'+1) < c/d$ then we have

$$\frac{c}{d'+1} < \alpha \leq \frac{c}{d}$$

from Lemma 2.1. This implies that $c/d' \leq c/d$, which is impossible. Therefore we have

$$\alpha \leq \frac{c}{d} \leq \frac{c}{d'+1} < \alpha'.$$

□

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* $\alpha \neq \alpha'$. *We define* ${a_n}'$, ${A_n}'$ *and* q_n' *as* a_n, A_n *and* q_n *which are obtained by applying the algorithm of generalized alternating Sylvester series to* α'. *If we set*

$$i = \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid q_j \neq q_j'\}$$

then $\alpha < \alpha'$ *is equivalent to*

$$\begin{cases} q_0 < q_0' & (i = 0), \\ q_i < q_i' & (2 \nmid i), \\ q_i > q_i' & (2 \mid i \text{ and } i \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, we consider the case $i = 0$. If $\alpha < \alpha'$ then $q_0 = [\alpha] \leq [\alpha'] = q_0'$. Therefore we have $q_0 < q_0'$. If $q_0 < q_0'$ then $[\alpha] < [\alpha']$. Therefore we have $\alpha < \alpha'$.

Next, we assume $i \neq 0$. Then we can write

$$\alpha = q_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{k-1} q_k + (-1)^{i-1} A_i, \qquad \alpha' = q_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{k-1} q_k + (-1)^{i-1} A_i' \tag{2.2}$$

from Remark 2.1. These relations imply that $\alpha < \alpha'$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} A_i < A_i' & (2 \nmid i), \\ -A_i < -A_i' & (2 \mid i \text{ and } i \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

From Proposition 2.1 (1) and Lemma 2.2, this is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} q_i < q_i' & (2 \nmid i), \\ q_i > q_i' & (2 \mid i \text{ and } i \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

This implies the proposition. □

In order to consider the case $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, we prove the next lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $c \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $p/q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1]$ *with* $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. *If we set* $d = [cq/p]$ *then the numerator of* $c/d - p/q$ *is less than* p. *In other words,* $cq - dp < p$.

Proof.

$$cq - dp = cq - \Big(\frac{cq}{p} - \Big\{\frac{cq}{p}\Big\}\Big)p \leq \frac{p-1}{p}p = p - 1,$$

where $\{x\} = x - [x]$. □

Proposition 2.3. *A necessary and sufficient condition for* $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ *is that there exists an* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $q_m = 0$.

Proof. The sufficiency is trivial. In order to prove the necessity, we set $\alpha = p/q$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $q_0 = 0$, $A_1 = p/q$ and $p, q > 0$. From the definition of a_n, A_n and Lemma 2.3, the numerator of A_n is strictly monotonically decreasing. This implies the proposition. $\square$

Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 imply that the generalized alternating-Sylvester series is similar to alternating-Sylvester series.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF THE REAL NUMBERS

In this section, we take an arbitrary sequence of positive integers $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying the condition $c_n \mid c_{n+1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and fix it. Moreover we identify $\{q_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in S(\{c_n\})$ with $(q_0, q_1, q_2, \dots)$.

Remark 3.1. On the condition $c_n \mid c_{n+1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the inequality in Proposition 2.1 (2) becomes

$$a_{n+1} \geq \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} a_n(a_n + 1).$$

If the equality holds in the above and $q_{n+2} = 0$ then

$$A_n = q_n - q_{n+1} = \frac{c_n}{a_n + 1}.$$

This contradicts the definition of q_n, hence $q_{n+2} \neq 0$ or

$$a_{n+1} > \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} a_n(a_n + 1)$$

hold.

In section 1, we assumed the existence of the real numbers, and defined $S(\{c_n\})$ in (1.3). In order to use $S(\{c_n\})$ for the construction of the real numbers, here we remove that assumption.

Definition 3.1. *We define $T(\{c_n\})$ as a set satisfying the following conditions.*

(1) $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *is a sequence of positive integers such that*

$$a_{n+1} \geq \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} a_n(a_n + 1).$$

(2) $q_n = 0$ *or* $q_n = c_n/a_n$ *for* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(3) $q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(4) $q_n \leq 1$ *for any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(5) *If* $q_1 = 1$ *then* $q_2 \neq 0$.

(6) *If* $q_m = 0$ *for* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *then* $q_n = 0$ *for any* $n \geq m$.

(7) *If* $q_{n+1} \neq 0$ *then* $q_{n+2} \neq 0$ *or*

$$a_{n+1} > \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} a_n(a_n + 1).$$

(8) $\{q_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in T(\{c_n\})$ *if and only if* $\{q_n\}$ *satisfies the above conditions.*

We can easily see that the following lemma holds.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $\{q_n\} \in T(\{c_n\})$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. $a_{n+1} > a_n$.
2. $q_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{a_n+1}$.
3. $q_{n+1} \leq q_n$. *Especially, If* $q_{n+1} \neq 0$ *then* $q_{n+1} < q_n$.
4. *The series*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} q_k$$

converges.

Proposition 3.1. $S(\{c_n\}) = T(\{c_n\})$.

Proof. $S(\{c_n\}) \subset T(\{c_n\})$ is trivial from Proposition 2.1 and Remark 3.1. In order to prove $S(\{c_n\}) \supset T(\{c_n\})$, we take $\{q'_n\} \in T(\{c_n\})$, and assume that $q'_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q'_n = 0$ or $q'_n = c_n/a'_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since we can set

$$\alpha = q'_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} q'_k$$

by Lemma 3.1 (4), we can obtain

$$\alpha = q_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} q_k$$

by the algorithm of the generalized alternating-Sylvester series. It is sufficient to prove that $q_n = q'_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Since the case $q'_1 = 0$ is trivial, we may assume $q'_1 \neq 0$. $q_0 = q'_0$ and $\alpha - q_0 = A_1 \leq q'_1 = c_1/a'_1$ are trivial. If $q'_1 = A_1$ then $q_1 = q'_1$ from Lemma 2.1 (2). Otherwise $A_1 \geq q'_1 - q'_2 \geq c_1/(a'_1 + 1)$ holds from Definition 3.1 (1) and (2). However, $A_1 = q'_1 - q'_2 = c_1/(a'_1 + 1)$ is impossible from Definition 3.1 (7). Thus we obtain $c_1/(a'_1 + 1) < A_1 < c_1/a'_1$. This implies $q_1 = q'_1$ from Lemma 2.1 (2).

Next suppose that $q_{n-1} = q'_{n-1}$ holds for $n > 1$. Then

$$(-1)^{n-1} A_n = \alpha - q_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-1} q_k = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} q'_k$$

holds from Remark 2.1, hence we obtain $A_k \leq q'_n = c_n/a'_n$. If $q'_n = A_n$ then $q'_n = q_n$. Otherwise we can obtain $A_n > c_n/(a'_n + 1)$ from Definition 3.1 (1), (2) and (7), which is the same argument as in the case $n = 1$. Since this implies $q_n = q'_n$, we obtain the assertion of the proposition inductively. □

In the rest of this section, we set $S = S(\{c_n\})$ for simplicity, and introduce the structure as the set of the real numbers for S.

Definition 3.2. *Let* $\{p_n\}, \{q_n\} \in S$ *with* $\{p_n\} \neq \{q_n\}$ *and*

$$i = \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid p_j \neq q_j\}.$$

We define a binary relation $<$ on S as follows :

$$\{p_n\} < \{q_n\} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} p_0 < q_0 & (i = 0), \\ p_i < q_i & (2 \nmid i), \\ p_i > q_i & (2 \mid i \text{ and } i \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.2. *For any $\{p_n\}, \{q_n\}, \{r_n\} \in S$, the relation $<$ has the following properties.*

1. *$\{p_n\} < \{p_n\}$ does not hold. (irreflexive law)*
2. *$\{p_n\} < \{q_n\}$ or $\{p_n\} = \{q_n\}$ or $\{q_n\} < \{p_n\}$. (trichotomy)*
3. *If $\{p_n\} < \{q_n\}$ and $\{q_n\} < \{r_n\}$ then $\{p_n\} < \{r_n\}$. (transitive law)*

In other words, $<$ is a linear order in the strict sense on S.

Proof. (1) and (2) are trivial. In order to prove (3), we define

$$i_1 = \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid p_j \neq q_j\}, \qquad i_2 = \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid q_j \neq r_j\}$$

and $i = \min\{i_1, i_2\}$. Then

$$p_k = q_k = r_k \quad (\text{for any } k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, i-1\})$$

and

$$p_i \neq q_i \quad \text{or} \quad q_i \neq r_i$$

hold. If i is odd then

$$\begin{cases} p_i < q_i \text{ and } q_i < r_i & (i = i_1 = i_2), \\ p_i = q_i \text{ and } q_i < r_i & (i = i_2 \neq i_1), \\ p_i < q_i \text{ and } q_i = r_i & (i = i_1 \neq i_2). \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have $p_i < r_i$. Other cases can be proved by the same argument. □

If we define

$$Q_S = \{\{q_n\} \in S \mid \text{there exists an } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } q_m = 0\},$$

we can identify Q_S with $\mathbb{Q}$ from Proposition 2.2 and 2.3. In short,

$$\mathbb{Q} \ni \left(q_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} q_n\right) \mapsto \{q_n\} \in Q_S$$

is an order-isomorphism. Hence we consider $\mathbb{Q} \subset S$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a non-empty subset of S. If M is bounded from above (below) then there exists a supremum (an infimum).*

Proof. Since M is bounded from above, there exists a d_0 such that

$$d_0 = \max\{q_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (q_0, q_1, \ldots) \in M\}.$$

If there does not exist an upper bound for M such that $(d_0, q_1, \ldots) \in S$ then $(d_0 + 1, 0, \ldots)$ is a supremum for M. Otherwise, since there exists a $(q_0, q_1, \ldots) \in M$ such that $q_0 = d_0$, we can define

$$d_1 = \max\{q_1 \in \mathbb{Q} \mid (d_0, q_1, \ldots) \in M\}$$

from the definition of S and $<$. By the same argument, we can define

$$d_2 = \min\{q_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \mid (d_0, d_1, q_2, \dots) \in M\}.$$

In general, if we have defined d_{k-1} for $k > 1$ then we define

$$d_k = \begin{cases} \max\{q_k \in \mathbb{Q} \mid (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{k-1}, q_k, \dots) \in M\} & (k-1 \text{ is even}), \\ \min\{q_k \in \mathbb{Q} \mid (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{k-1}, q_k, \dots) \in M\} & (k-1 \text{ is odd}). \end{cases}$$

From the definition of $<$ and $\{d_n\}$, obviously $\{d_n\}$ is the supremum for M.

The case of the infimum can be proved by the same argument. □

In order to introduce the algebraic structure for S, we require some preparations.

Definition 3.3. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rational numbers. Then $L(a_n)$ means "For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n| < 1/m$ holds for any $n \geq N$".*

In the usual sense, $L(a_n)$ means $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$.

The following definition and lemma are the same as in [5].

Definition 3.4. *Let $X \in S$ with $X = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$. Then we define $X_{2n}, X_{2n-1} \in S$ as follows :*

$$X_{2n} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2n}, 0, \dots),$$
$$X_{2n-1} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}, 0, \dots),$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We can easily see that the next lemma holds.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $X \in S$ with $X = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$. Then the following properties hold.*

1. $X_{2n} \leq X_{2n+2} \leq X \leq X_{2n+1} \leq X_{2n-1}$.
2. $L(X_{2n-1} - X_{2n})$.
3. $\sup X_{2n} = \inf X_{2n-1} = X$.

In order to prove the main lemma, we also require the next lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\{a_n\}$ be a monotonically increasing sequence of rational numbers which is bounded from above. If we set $X = \sup a_n$ then $L(X_{2n-1} - a_n)$.*

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that there exists an m such that

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}[n \geq N \text{ and } |X_{2n-1} - a_n| = X_{2n-1} - a_n \geq 1/m]$$

holds. Since $X_{2n-1} - a_n \geq X_{2n+1} - a_{n+1}$ holds from the assumption, $X_{2n-1} - a_n \geq 1/m$ holds for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, from Lemma 3.2, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$X_{2n-1} - X_{2n} < 1/2m$$

holds for any $n \geq N$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &\leq X_{2n-1} - \frac{1}{m} \\ &\leq X_{2N-1} - \frac{1}{m} \\ &< X_{2N} - \frac{1}{2m} \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq N$. This implies that $X_{2N} - 1/2m$ is an upper bound for $\{a_n\}$. Therefore we obtain

$$\sup a_n \leq X_{2N} - \frac{1}{2m} < X_{2N} \leq \sup X_{2n} = X.$$

This contradicts the definition of X. ☐

Next is the main lemma, which is important in the proofs of the algebraic properties of S. Moreover it seems that this lemma can be used in Knopfmacher's works [3], [4], [5].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ be monotonically increasing sequence of rational numbers which are bounded from above. Then $\sup a_n = \sup b_n$ is equivalent to $L(a_n - b_n)$.*

Proof. First we suppose $\sup a_n = \sup b_n$. We set $X = \sup a_n = \sup b_n$. Since

$$|a_n - b_n| \leq |a_n - X_{2n-1}| + |X_{2n-1} - b_n|,$$

we obtain $L(a_n - b_n)$ by Lemma 3.3.

Next we suppose $L(a_n - b_n)$. By way of contradiction, assume that $\sup a_n \neq \sup b_n$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\sup a_n < \sup b_n$. If we set $X = \sup a_n$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X_{2n-1} < b_n$ holds for any $n \geq N$. Since $b_n - X_{2n-1} \leq b_{n+1} - X_{2n+1}$ for $n \geq N$,

$$|b_n - a_n| = (b_n - X_{2n-1}) + (X_{2n-1} - a_n) \geq b_N - X_{2N-1} > 0$$

for $n \geq N$. This contradicts $L(a_n - b_n)$. ☐

Now we define the operators on S, and prove that S is an ordered field. (These definitions are the same as in [5].)

Definition 3.5. *Let $X, Y \in S$. We define the following symbols and operators.*

(1) $0 = (0, 0, \dots)(= 0 \in \mathbb{Q})$.
(2) $X + Y = \sup(X_{2n} + Y_{2n})$.
(3) $-X = \sup(-X_{2n-1})$.

Definition 3.6. *Let $X, Y \in S$. We define the following symbols and operators.*

(1) $1 = (1, 0, \dots)(= 1 \in \mathbb{Q})$.
(2)

$$X \cdot Y = \begin{cases} \sup(X_{2n} \cdot Y_{2n}) & (X, Y \geq 0), \\ (-X) \cdot (-Y) & (X, Y \leq 0), \\ -((-X) \cdot Y) & (X \leq 0, Y \geq 0), \\ -(X \cdot (-Y)) & (X \geq 0, Y \leq 0). \end{cases}$$

(3)
$$X^{-1} = \begin{cases} \sup((X_{2n-1})^{-1}) & (X > 0), \\ -((-X)^{-1}) & (X < 0). \end{cases}$$

Since $X_{2n} + Y_{2n} \leq X_1 + Y_1$, $-X_{2n-1} \leq -X_2$, $X_{2n} \cdot Y_{2n} \leq X_1 \cdot Y_1 \quad (X, Y \geq 0)$ and $(X_{2n-1})^{-1} \leq X_2^{-1} \quad (X > 0)$, these definitions are possible.

Now we prove that $+$ and $\cdot$ have the properties of the usual addition and multiplication.

Proposition 3.3. *For any $X, Y, Z \in S$, $+$ has the following properties.*

1. $X + Y = Y + X$.
2. $X + 0 = X$.
3. $(X + Y) + Z = X + (Y + Z)$.
4. $X + (-X) = 0$.
5. *If $X < Y$ then $X + Z < Y + Z$.*

Proof. (1) and (2) are trivial.

(3) : We set $A = X + Y$, which means $L(A_{2n} - (X_{2n} + Y_{2n}))$ from Lemma 3.4. Since
$$|(A_{2n} + Z_{2n}) - (X_{2n} + Y_{2n} + Z_{2n})| = |A_{2n} - (X_{2n} + Y_{2n})|,$$
we obtain $L((A_{2n} + Z_{2n}) - (X_{2n} + Y_{2n} + Z_{2n}))$. From Lemma 3.4, this implies $\sup(A_{2n} + Z_{2n}) = \sup(X_{2n} + Y_{2n} + Z_{2n})$, hence we obtain $(X + Y) + Z = \sup(X_{2n} + Y_{2n} + Z_{2n})$. We can also prove that $X + (Y + Z) = \sup(X_{2n} + Y_{2n} + Z_{2n})$ by the same argument.

(4) : We set $A = -X$, which means $L(A_{2n} + X_{2n-1})$ from Lemma 3.4. Since
$$|X_{2n} + A_{2n}| \leq |X_{2n} - X_{2n-1}| + |X_{2n-1} + A_{2n}|,$$
we obtain $L((X_{2n} + A_{2n}) - 0)$ from Lemma 3.2. This implies $\sup(X_{2n} + A_{2n}) = \sup 0$ from Lemma 3.4, hence we obtain (4).

(5) : Since $X_{2n} + Z_{2n} < Y_{2n} + Z_{2n}$ holds for sufficiently large n, we obtain $X + Z \leq Y + Z$. If $X + Z = Y + Z$ then $L((X_{2n} + Z_{2n}) - (Y_{2n} + Z_{2n}))$ from Lemma 3.4. In short $L(X_{2n} - Y_{2n})$, however this is impossible from Lemma 3.4. □

From Proposition 3.3 (1), (2), (3) and (4), S is an abelian group about $+$, hence we can use $-(-X) = X$, $-(X + Y) = (-X) + (-Y)$, etc. Moreover we obtain $0 < X \Leftrightarrow 0 + (-X) < X + (-X) \Leftrightarrow -X < 0$ and $X < 0 \Leftrightarrow 0 < -X$ from Proposition 3.3 (5).

Proposition 3.4. *For any $X, Y, Z \in S$, $\cdot$ has the following properties.*

1. $X \cdot Y = Y \cdot X$.
2. $X \cdot 1 = X$.
3. $X \cdot Y = -((-X) \cdot Y) = -(X \cdot (-Y))$.
4. $X \cdot X^{-1} = 1 \quad (X \neq 0)$.
5. $(X \cdot Y) \cdot Z = X \cdot (Y \cdot Z)$.
6. *If $X < Y$ and $Z > 0$ then $XZ < YZ$.*

Proof. (1) and (2) are trivial.

(3) : From the definition of $\cdot$, we can obtain (3) by some easy calculations.

(4) : For $X > 0$, we set $A = X^{-1}$, which means $L(A_{2n} - (X_{2n-1})^{-1})$ from Lemma 3.4. Since

$$|X_{2n}A_{2n} - X_{2n}(X_{2n-1})^{-1}| \le |X_1| \cdot |A_{2n} - (X_{2n-1})^{-1}|,$$

we obtain $L(X_{2n}A_{2n} - X_{2n}(X_{2n-1})^{-1})$. This implies $X \cdot X^{-1} = \sup(X_{2n}(X_{2n-1})^{-1})$ from Lemma 3.4. On the other hand, since

$$|X_{2n}(X_{2n-1})^{-1} - 1| = |(X_{2n-1})^{-1}| \cdot |X_{2n} - X_{2n-1}| \le |X_2^{-1}| \cdot |X_{2n} - X_{2n-1}|,$$

we obtain $L(X_{2n}(X_{2n-1})^{-1} - 1)$. This implies (4). From this case, we can prove the case $X < 0$ by easy calculations.

(5) : For $X, Y, Z \ge 0$, we can prove (5) by the same argument as Proposition 3.3 (3). By using (3), we can prove other cases from this case. For example, the case $X, Z \ge 0$ and $Y \le 0$ can be proved as follows :

$$\begin{aligned}(X \cdot Y) \cdot Z &= (-(X \cdot (-Y))) \cdot Z \\ &= -((X \cdot (-Y)) \cdot Z) \\ &= -(X \cdot ((-Y) \cdot Z)) \qquad (-Y > 0) \\ &= X \cdot (-((-Y) \cdot Z)) \\ &= X \cdot (Y \cdot Z).\end{aligned}$$

(6) : For $X, Y \ge 0$, we can prove (6) by the same argument as Proposition 3.3 (5). From this case, we can also prove other cases easily. ☐

Proposition 3.5. *For any $X, Y, Z \in S$, $X \cdot (Y + Z) = X \cdot Y + X \cdot Z$.*

Proof. First, we assume $X, Y, Z \ge 0$. Let $A = Y + Z$, $B = X \cdot Y$ and $C = X \cdot Z$. Then $L(A_{2n} - (Y_{2n} + Z_{2n}))$, $L(B_{2n} - X_{2n}Y_{2n})$ and $L(C_{2n} - X_{2n}Z_{2n})$ hold from Lemma 3.4. Since

$$\begin{aligned}&|X_{2n}A_{2n} - (B_{2n} + C_{2n})| \\ &\quad = |X_{2n}(A_{2n} - (Y_{2n} + Z_{2n})) + (X_{2n}Y_{2n} - B_{2n}) + (X_{2n}Z_{2n} - C_{2n})| \\ &\quad \le |X_1| \cdot |A_{2n} - (Y_{2n} + Z_{2n})| + |X_{2n}Y_{2n} - B_{2n}| + |X_{2n}Z_{2n} - C_{2n}|,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $L(X_{2n}A_{2n} - (B_{2n} + C_{2n}))$. This implies $\sup(X_{2n}A_{2n}) = \sup(B_{2n} + C_{2n})$ from Lemma 3.4. This implies $X \cdot (Y + Z) = X \cdot Y + X \cdot Z$.

Next we consider the case $X \ge 0$, $Y + Z \ge 0$ and $Z \le 0$. Since $-Z \ge 0$, we obtain

$$X \cdot (Y + Z) + X \cdot (-Z) = X \cdot (Y + Z + (-Z)) = X \cdot Y.$$

This is equivalent to $X \cdot (Y + Z) = X \cdot Y + X \cdot Z$ from Proposition 3.4 (3).

We can easily prove other cases from these cases. ☐

From Propositions 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5, S is an ordered field. Since any ordered field which satisfies Theorem 3.1 is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ [1], we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *S is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$.*

References

[1] L. W. Cohen and G. Ehrlich, The Structure of the Real Number System, D. Van Nostrand Co. 1963.

[2] K.-H. Indlekofer, A. Knopfmacher and J. Knopfmacher, Alternating Balkema-Oppenheim expansions of real numbers, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. **44** (1992), 1728.

[3] A. Knopfmacher and J. Knopfmacher, A new construction of the real numbers (via infinite products), Nieuw Arch. Wisk. **5** (1987), 19-31.

[4] A. Knopfmacher and J. Knopfmacher, Two concrete new constructions of the real numbers, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **18** (1988), 813-824.

[5] A. Knopfmacher and J. Knopfmacher, Two constructions of the real numbers via alternating series, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **12** (1989), 603-613.

[6] A. Oppenheim, The representation of real numbers by infinite series of rationals, Acta Arith. **21** (1972), 391-398.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FUROCHO, CHIKUSAKU, NAGOYA 464-8602, JAPAN

E-mail address: `m10004u@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`